

**ANNUAL REPORT OF**  
**Escritos de Psicología**  
Psychological Writings

(Year 2016)

1. Issues published: 3

January-April 2016  
May-August 2016  
September-December 2016

2. Number of articles: 22

Original research: 13  
Review article: 5  
Clinical case: 1  
Theoretical article: 1  
Methodology and instrumentation: 2

3. Authors affiliations:

- Behavior & Law Research Foundation, Madrid, España
- Fundación Universitaria Luis Amigó, Colombia
- Massey University, Wellington, Nueva Zelanda
- Servicio Andaluz de Salud, España
- Stanford University, USA
- Universidad Autónoma de Chile, Chile
- Universidad Camilo José Cela, España
- Universidad Complutense de Madrid, España
- Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina
- Universidad de Córdoba, España
- Universidad de Granada, España
- Universidad de Huelva, España
- Universidad de las Islas Baleares, España
- Universidad de Málaga, España
- Universidad de Palermo, Argentina
- Universidad de Salamanca, España
- Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, España
- Universidad de Sevilla, España
- Universidad de Sonora, México
- Universidad de Valencia, España
- Universidad de Vigo, España
- Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

4. Referees and affiliations::

- Águeda Parra Jiménez, Universidad de Sevilla, España
- Alfredo Fierro, Universidad de Málaga, España
- Ana García León, Universidad de Jaén, España
- Ana Hernández, Universidad de Valencia, España
- Ana Varela Rey, Universidad de Barcelona, España
- Andrés Antonio González Garrido, Universidad de Guadalajara, México
- Andrés Chamarro, Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, España
- Ángel Blanco López, Universidad de Málaga, España
- Ángel Hernando Gómez, Universidad de Huelva, España
- Antonio González-Hernández, Universidad de Málaga, España
- Antonio Vidal, Universidad de Valencia, España
- Beatriz Sora Miana, Universitat Oberta de Catalunya, España
- Carmen Barajas Esteban, Universidad de Málaga, España
- Carmen Manzanedo Pérez, Universidad de Valencia, España
- Carmen Ramírez Maestre, Universidad de Málaga, España
- Eduardo Blanco Calvo, Universidad de Lleida, España
- Elisardo Becoña Iglesias, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, España
- Esteban Agullo Tomás, Universidad de Oviedo, España
- Francisco J. Abad, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, España
- Francisco Pablo Holgado Tello, UNED, España
- Gema Teresa Ruiz Párraga, Universidad de Málaga, España
- Georgina Guilera, Universidad de Barcelona, España
- Jose Antonio García del Castillo, Universidad Miguel Hernández, España
- José Carlos Núñez, Universidad de Oviedo, España
- José Manuel Tomas Migue, Universidad de Valencia, España
- José María Zumalabe Makirriain, Universidad del País Vasco, España
- Juan Luis Castejón, Universidad de Alicante, España
- Juan Seguí, L'Université Paris Descartes, Francia
- Julián Almaraz, Universidad de Málaga, España
- Lydia Gómez Pérez, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile
- Manuel Sebastián Carrasco, Universidad Católica San Antonio de Murcia, España
- María Ángeles Pastor Mira, Universidad Miguel Hernández, España
- María Asunción Aguilar Calpe, Universidad de Valencia, España
- María Del Carmen Míguez Varela, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, España
- María Dolores Hidalgo, Universidad de Murcia, España
- María Elena Alvarado, Universidad de Chile, Chile
- Maria Macarena Martinez Cuitiño, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina
- Mariano Rodríguez González, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, España
- Marta Ferragut, Universidad de Málaga, España

- Maximiliano E. Korstanje, Arnet.com, Argentina
- Miguel Ángel García, Universidad de Málaga, España
- Montserrat Comesaña, Universidade do Minho, Portugal
- Natalia Sylvia Ramos Díaz, Universidad de Málaga, España
- Nélida María Conejo Jiménez, Universidad de Oviedo, España
- Pablo López Silva, Universidad de Valparaíso, Chile
- Pilar Ferré, Universidad Rovira i Virgili, España
- Pilar Rueda Gallego, Hospital Quirón, España
- Rafael Alarcón, Universidad de Málaga, España
- Roser Bono, Universidad de Barcelona, España
- Serafina Castro Zamudio, Universidad de Málaga, España
- Sofía López Roig, Universidad Miguel Hernández, España
- Tomás Izquierdo Rus, Universidad de Murcia, España
- Trinidad López Espigares, Universidad de Málaga, España
- Víctor Manuel Campos Arillo, Área de Neurociencias. Hospital Xanit, España
- Violeta Cardenal Hernández, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, España
- Xavier Mendez Carrillo, Universidad de Murcia, España

5. Average time between receipt of manuscript and final acceptance: 156 days (approx. 5.2 months).
6. Average time between acceptance and publication: 128 days (approx. 4.1 months).
7. Papers received: 46
8. Papers rejected: 54,6% (1 retirado)
9. Papers accepted: 46,4%
  - With minor changes: 16,8%
  - With major changes: 84,2%
10. Authors evaluation::

In March 2017, the questionnaire presented in Annex 1 was sent to the authors of the issues corresponding to 2016 to evaluate their satisfaction with the referee and publication process. 11 questionnaires have been received from the 22 envoys. Annex 2 presents the results obtained and in Annex 3 the comments and suggestions received. The results show a high degree of satisfaction with higher average scores in all items to 7.73 points (which refers to the difficulty of navigating the web journal). The journal has obtained an overall average score of 8.64 points.

11. Improvements made during the report period:
  - Incorporation to the catalogue of journals of the University of Malaga, which will occur in a way complete through 2017.

- Publication of the monograph "Build in adversity" (September-December 2016).
- The design of the format of the articles has changed to facilitate its reading on electronic devices (computer, tablets...).

**ANNEX 1**

**EVALUATION OF “PSYCHOLOGICAL WRITINGS”  
AUTHORS’ QUESTIONNAIRE**

Dear author,

Please take a moment to complete this short questionnaire to tell us about your experience. Your feedback will be used to improve our journal. The entire questionnaire will take approximately 5 minutes to complete. Please mark (x) the option which best reflects your level of satisfaction with the review and the edition process.

	Totally Satisfied						Totally Dissatisfied				
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Clarity of the instructions for authors											
Time between submission and acknowledgement of receipt											
Time between acknowledgement of receipt and receipt of the reviewers’ comments											
Clarity of the criteria applied to assess the manuscripts											
Clarity of the reviewers’ comments											
Editors’ readiness to answer the authors’ questions											
Relationships with editors											
Editorial management											
Time between final acceptance and publication											
Website structure											
Ease to find the website contents											
Scientific relevance of the published contents											
Quality of the published scientific contents											
Compliance with the international editorial standards											
Edition quality of the journal											
Diffusion of the journal’s contents											

**Overall**, how satisfied were you with our journal?

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
								x		

In the future, would you submit a manuscript for publication in *Psychological Writings* again?

Unlikely

Very likely

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

## ANNEX 2

### RESULTS OF THE JOURNAL EVALUATION BY THE AUTHORS

Items	Average Score (Range 1 - 10)
Clarity of the instructions for authors	9,09
Time between submission and acknowledgement of receipt	9,55
Time between acknowledgement of receipt and receipt of the reviewers' comments	9,09
Clarity of the criteria applied to assess the manuscripts	9,18
Clarity of the reviewers' comments	8,36
Editors' readiness to answer the authors' questions	9,45
Relationships with editors	9,82
Editorial management	9,55
Time between final acceptance and publication	9,09
Website structure	8,64
Ease to find the website contents	7,73
Scientific relevance of the published contents	8,82
Quality of the published scientific contents	8,64
Compliance with the international editorial standards	9,50
Edition quality of the journal	9,27
Diffusion of the journal's contents	8,09
<b>Overall satisfaction</b>	<b>8,64</b>
<b>Future intention to submit another paper to the journal</b>	<b>8,55</b>

### ANEXO 3

#### COMENTARIOS Y SUGERENCIAS REALIZADOS POR LOS AUTORES

- Sin duda la gestión de todo el proceso ha sido excelente y a la altura de las revistas de alto impacto. Espero y deseo que sigáis creciendo.
- En primer lugar, me gustaría felicitarles por su excelente labor a los revisores y editores, así como por la calidad de Escritos de Psicología. Mi experiencia con la revista ha sido muy positiva y dado que se trata de mi primera publicación, espero repetir la experiencia pronto. Sin embargo, en cuanto a las normas de publicación he echado en falta mayor claridad en cuanto al formato de las tablas y las figuras, así como a la hora de subir el artículo y los documentos pertinentes en las distintas fases del proceso de revisión.
- Si dudo enviar otro manuscrito en la revista es por dos motivos (uno ajeno a la propia revista)
  1. Al ser de la UMA, actualmente en los criterios para conceder sexenios se penaliza publicar en revistas de la propia universidad. Además de no ser una revista internacionalmente indexada en JCR
  2. Hemos publicado varios artículos en Escritos, queremos fomentar esta revista de la UMA, y siempre la hemos tenido en cuenta. En el último artículo enviado ha sido tan exigente la revisión a nivel metodológico y tan ardua que no compensa el esfuerzo para la utilidad personal en su publicación.
- Gracias por el esfuerzo en que la revista siga publicándose y mejorando.

All management process has been excellent and comparable to the high-impact journals. I hope and wish that you continue to grow.

First of all, I would congratulate the reviewers and editors for his excellent job, and congratulate you on the high quality of *Psychological Writings*.

My experience with the magazine has been very positive. The journal published and since it's my first publication, I hope to repeat the experience soon. However, in terms of publication rules have missed clarity as to the format of the tables and figures, as well as to upload the article and the relevant documents in the different phases of the process of review. •If doubt send another manuscript in the magazine is for two reasons (one outside own magazine) 1. Al be the UMA currently the criteria to grant six penalizes publish in journals of the University. In addition to not being a magazine internationally indexed in JCR2. We have published several articles in writings, want to encourage this magazine of the UMA, and we have always had it in mind. Revision so arduous and methodological level that does not compensate for the effort for the personal utility on its publication. •by effort in that magazine continue publication and improving has been so demanding in the last item shipped.